

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,934	09/20/2005	Il-Shin Kim	19817-019001	7907
26171 FISH & RICHA	7590 09/24/2007 ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022		TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E		
MINNEAPOLI	OLIS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/549,934	KIM ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		William E. Tapolcai	3744		
Davia d fa	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
Period fo					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ARANDON	N. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication.		
Status	•		•		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•		
2a)□	•	action is non-final.	•		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 33-52 and 54-74 is/are pending in the	application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	• •	•		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)🔀	Claim(s) 33-52 and 54-75 are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement	• •		
Applicati	on Papers				
9)□.	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•	·		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminor		
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				
11) 🔲 🤄	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) 🔯 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 110/o			
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 65 5.0.6. § 115(a	y-(u) or (i).		
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori				
	application from the International Bureau		od III and Manonar Glage		
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.		
		•			
Attachment	(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F			
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	account of the second of the s		
5					

Application/Control Number: 10/549,934

Art Unit: 3744

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Figs. 2-3, Figs. 4-6, and Fig. 7

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 2. The following claim(s) are generic: no claims are considered to be generic.
- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the different species all disclose various different details of the refrigerator.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,934

Art Unit: 3744

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William El Tapolcai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

wet September 13, 2007